

**Remarks**

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

The specification has been amended to correct noted grammatical errors. No new matter has been added.

Claims 1-8 have been rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for reasons noted by the Examiner.

Claims 1-8 have been amended to address the issues noted by the Examiner. Specifically, claim 1 has been amended to address the issues related to the terms “command magnitude,” “control signal” and “trapezoidal signal” as noted by the Examiner, and to clarify the original phrase “for flowing from the capacitor.” Claim 1 has been further amended to interconnect the capacitor with the first and second current control circuits, and to recite an input and output signals of the claimed trapezoid signal generating circuit. More specifically, claim 1 has been amended to recite that the capacitor outputs a trapezoid signal generating circuit trapezoid signal, and that the current control signal receives a trapezoid signal generating circuit input signal.

The term “a time point” in claims 2 and 3 has been amended for purposes of clarity to “each time point of a level change between the first level and the second level.” The term “predetermined offset current” in claim 5 has been amended for purposes of clarity to “first predetermined offset current” and “second predetermined offset current.”

Regarding claims 6, 7 and 8, the term “the currents” in claim 6 has been amended for purposes of clarity to “the charging and discharging currents,” while the term “the charging and discharging circuit” in claim 6, line 10 has been amended to “the one or more cascade-connected charging and discharging circuits.” Regarding claims 6, 7 and 8, the term “constructed” has been deleted.

In view of the above amendments to the claims, the claims now comply with the requirements of 34 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-8 be withdrawn.

New claims 9 and 10 been added and recite elements similar to claim 1. However, claims 9 and 10 recites the elements of the claimed invention in a somewhat different order. Support may be found throughout the specification and drawings.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-8 would be allowable if amended to overcome the above discussed rejection. As the claims have been appropriately amended, and as the only outstanding rejection has been addressed, the Applicant asserts that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests a Notice to that effect.

Please charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 50-1147.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. G. Posz', written over a horizontal line.

David G. Posz  
Reg. No. 37,701

Posz Law Group, PLC  
12040 South Lakes Drive  
Suite 101  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 707-9110  
Customer No. 23400